

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,501	01/04/2002	Theodore F. Emerson	COMP:0221	6279
7590 03/24/2005			EXAMINER	
Intellectual Property Administration			PATEL, DHAIRYA A	
Legal Departme	ent M/S 35		<u></u>	
P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Ft. Collins, CO 80527-2400			2151	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	·		
Office Action Summary		10/037,501	EMERSON ET AL.	•		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dhairya A Patel	2151	_		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	5		
THE   - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Communication of the second for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a con.  In a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become the statute.	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communications (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.		
Status						
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	15 April 2002.				
, —	•	This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application are subject.	thdrawn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the compact that or declaration is objected to by the content of	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyour correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	• •		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·				
12) <u></u> a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Base the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have bee sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  n received in this National Stage	e		
<ul><li>2)  Notic</li><li>3)  Information</li></ul>	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/15/2002</u> .	18) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	ł		

Art Unit: 2151

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Application # 10/037,501 was filed on 1/4/2002. Claims 1-20 are subject to examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2,4,8,9-14,17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Krontz et al. U.S. Patent # 5,790,895 (hereinafter Krontz).

As per claim 1, Krontz teaches a remote server management controller (Fig. 1a, Fig 1b), comprising: an external communication interface (Fig. 1A element 149) adapted to receive data from a remote user (column 12 lines 17-36);

The reference teaches the modem (external communication interface) receives the incoming call and examines the first few characters from the incoming call (receiving data) from the remote user

-an IOP adapted to: receive data from the external communication interface (column 10 lines 46-64); and

The reference teaches the processor (IOP) receives data from the modem (external communication interface).

-transmit data corresponding to the data received from the external communication interface to an OS of a managed server; and a VCD interface adapted

Art Unit: 2151

to intercept data received from the OS, the data received from the OS being intended for a specific communication interface, and to redirect the data received from the OS to the remote user via the external communication interface instead of directing the data received from the OS to the specific communication interface (column 10 lines 55-67)(column 11 lines 1-9, lines 26-45)(column 12 lines 17-36).

The reference teaches sending the resource data to the operating system of the server and the Virtual communication port of the device intercepts the data. The data is sent to the remote computer (remote user) via the modem (external communication port).

As per claim 2, Krontz teaches the remote server management controller of claim 1, wherein the specific communication interface is a UART interface of the managed server (column 10 lines 44-64).

As per claim 4, Krontz teaches the remote server management controller of claim 1, wherein data received from the user over the external communication interface is transmitted to the OS of the managed server via a UART interface. (Column 10 lines 47-64)

As per claim 8, Krontz teaches the remote server management controller of claim 1, wherein the external communication interface is an Ethernet interface. (column 9 lines 49-56) (column 10 lines 44-47) (Fig. 1a element 149)(Column 11 lines 52-59)

The reference teaches communication takes place using a modem, which also works, as an Ethernet interface.

**Art Unit: 2151** 

As per claim 9, Krontz teaches a remote server management controller, comprising:

-an IOP adapted to monitor interrupt data transmitted from an SIO to a southbridge (column 9 lines 25-34), to alter the interrupt data transmitted from the SIO based on input received from an external user via an external communication interface and to transmit the altered interrupt data to a managed server (column 9 lines 25-56); and

-a VCD adapted to: intercept responsive data intended to be transmitted to the SIO in response to the altered interrupt data; and prevent the responsive data from reaching the SIO (column 10 lines 26-43).

The reference teaches the virtual communication port (VCD) to intercept the accesses (data) and prevents it from reaching the SIO.

As per claim 10, Krontz teaches the remote server management controller of claim 9 wherein the VCD is further adapted to route the responsive data to the remote user via the external communication interface (column 10 lines 26-46).

As per claim 11, Krontz teaches the remote server management controller of claim 9 wherein the input received from the external user is adapted to emulate an interrupt generated by a device in the managed server (column 10 lines 24-46).

As per claim 12, Krontz teaches the remote server management controller of claim 9 wherein the external communication interface is an Ethernet interface (column 9 lines 49-56) (column 10 lines 44-47) (Fig. 1a element 149)(Column 11 lines 52-59)

Art Unit: 2151

The reference teaches communication takes place using a modem which also works as an Ethernet interface.

As per claim 13, Krontz teaches a method of remotely retrieving data from an OS, the method comprising the acts of:

-receiving a request for OS information from a remote user (column 12 lines 57-60);

-transmitting the request for OS information to the OS (column 10 lines 64-67)(column 11 lines 1-5)(column 12 lines 48-62);

-receiving data responsive to the act of transmitting the request to the OS, the data being intended for a specific communication interface (column 10 lines 55-67)(column 11 lines 1-9, lines 26-45)(column 12 lines 17-36);

-redirecting the data received from the OS responsive to the act of transmitting the request to the OS to the remote user instead of to the specific communication interface (column 10 lines 55-67)(column 11 lines 1-9, lines 26-45)(column 12 lines 17-36).

As per claim 14, Krontz teaches the method of claim 13 wherein the specific communication interface is a UART interface (column 10 lines 44-64).

As per claim 17, Krontz teaches the method of claim 13 further comprising the act of enabling an Ethernet interface to receive the request for OS information (column 10 lines 44-64).

Art Unit: 2151

As per claim 18, Krontz teaches the method of claim 13 further comprising the act of initiating an out-of-band management communication session (column 11 lines 25-45)(column 10 lines 46-64).

As per claim 19, Krontz teaches the method of claim 13 further comprising the act of enabling a VCD to transmit the request for OS information to the OS (column 11 lines 35-51)(column 12 lines 57-60).

As per claim 20, Krontz teaches the method of claim 13 wherein the recited acts are performed in the recited order (column 10 lines 44-64)(column 11 lines 25-51)(column 12 lines 57-60).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 3,5,15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krontz et al. U.S. Patent # 5,790,895 (hereinafter Krontz) in view of Britt JR. et al. U.S. Patent Publication # 2002/0032785 (hereinafter Britt).

As per claim 3, Krontz teaches the remote server management controller of claim 1, but fails to teach wherein the specific communication interface is a USB host controller of the managed server. Britt teaches the specific communication interface is a USB host controller of the manager server. (Paragraph 28). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to implement

Art Unit: 2151

Krontz's invention in Britt's invention to come up with specific communication interface as USB host controller. The motivation for doing so would have been because USB interface supports variety of peripheral devices using the USB host controller.

As per claim 5, Krontz teaches the remote server management controller of claim 1, wherein data received from the user over the external communication interface is transmitted to the OS of the managed server but fails to teach via a USB interface. Britt teaches using USB interface to transmit data to the server. (Paragraph 28). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to implement Krontz's invention in Britt's invention to come up with using USB interface to transmit data to the server. The motivation for doing so would have been because USB interface supports variety of peripheral devices using the USB host controller.

As per claim 15, Krontz teaches the method of claim 13 but fails to teach wherein the specific communication interface is a USB interface. Britt teaches the specific communication interface is a USB interface. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to implement Krontz's invention in Britt's invention to come up with using USB interface. The motivation for doing so would have been because USB interface supports variety of peripheral devices using the USB host controller.

4. Claims 6,7,16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krontz et al. U.S. Patent # 5,790,895 (hereinafter Krontz) in view of Ito et al. U.S. Patent # 6,671,343 (hereinafter Ito)

Art Unit: 2151

As per claim 6, Krontz teaches the remote server management controller of claim 1, but fails to teach the specific communication interface is a 1394 interface of the managed server. Ito teaches the specific communication interface is 1394 interface (column 3 lines 51-67)(column 4 lines 1-22). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to implement Krontz's invention in Ito's invention to come up specific communication interface as 1394 interface. The motivation for doing so would have been to because it provides faster data transmission compare to other communication interfaces.

As per claim 7, Krontz teaches the remote server management controller of claim 1, wherein data received from the user over the external communication interface is transmitted to the OS of the managed server but fails to teach via a 1394 interface. Ito teaches the transmitting data to the server using 1394 interface (column 3 lines 51-67)(column 4 lines 1-22). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to implement Krontz's invention in Ito's invention to come up with using 1394 interface to transmit data to the server. The motivation for doing so would have been because it provides faster data transmission compare to other communication interfaces.

As per claim 16, Krontz teaches the method of claim 13 but fails to teach wherein the specific communication interface is a 1394 interface. Ito teaches the specific communication interface is 1394 interface (column 3 lines 51-67)(column 4 lines 1-22). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to implement Krontz's invention in Ito's invention to come up specific

Art Unit: 2151

communication interface as 1394 interface. The motivation for doing so would have been to because it provides faster data transmission compare to other communication interfaces.

#### **Conclusion**

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - A). "Modem Sharing" by Krontz et al. U.S. Patent # 5,790,895
  - B). "Data clock generator, data clock generating method and storage medium thereof" by Ito et al. U.S. Patent # 6,671,343.
  - C). "System and method of linking user identification to subscriber identification module" by Britt JR. U.S. Patent publication # 2002/0032785.
- 6. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire **3 (three)** months and **0 (zero)** days from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the applicant (see 35 U.S.C 133, M.P.E.P 710.02, 710.02(b)).

7.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dhairya A Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4066. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on (571) 272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2151

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAP

PRIMARY EXAMINER